



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**12 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1080 OF 2025
IN WP/12116/2025**

RITHWIK PROJECTS PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH ITS SENIOR
VICE PRESIDENT T RAVI KRISHNA TSN MURTHY

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY MINISTRY OF
FINANCE DEPARTMEN THRO T V SOMANATHAN

...
Mr. Darius B. Shroff, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Ashok Singh i/b
Mr.A.C.Darandale, Advocate for the petitioner
Standing counsel for Respondent No.1 : Mr. Rajesh H. Mewara
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. A.R. Kale

...
CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT AND

VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.

DATED : 22nd DECEMBER, 2025.

ORDER :

. Heard learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner.

2. The order of which contempt is alleged is dated
1st October, 2025 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.12116/2025.

3. It is the case in the petition that the representative of the
petitioner's company was called by the authorities in office. In the said
meeting, the authorities directed him to again visit the office on
24.12.2025 and to deposit Rs.13 crores on 24.12.2025. It is alleged
that the authorities further threatened the representative that if the
company fails to deposit the amount, as asked, he will be arrested.
Learned Senior Advocate tried to convince this Court that by this act,
the authorities have committed a contempt of the order passed by this
Court dated 01.10.2025. He also prayed that the Court be pleased to

protect the representative of the company from arrest. He invites attention to the additional affidavit filed today, more particularly, the threats received by him at the hands of respondent authorities. This Court finds that this is only an apprehension in the mind of the petitioner as on today. Learned Senior Advocate failed to show any act of the respondents showing that the authorities have acted in defiance of the order passed by this Court. This Court finds that merely on apprehension, this Court need not even issue a notice to the respondents.

4. Considering the above, this Court find that no case is made out to take cognizance of contempt. Petition is filed without showing as to how and in what manner, the respondents have committed a contempt of order passed by this Court. Petition, therefore, deserves to be dismissed and same is dismissed.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.)

(KISHORE C. SANT ,J.)