



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2648 OF 2017

Kisan Punjo Zatke And Others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

.....Respondents

.....

Mr. M.R. Bhokarikar, Advocate for the Petitioners

Mr. A.V. Lavte, AGP for State

Mr. D.V. Soman, Advocate for respondent No. 3

.....

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.J.**

DATE : 03rd NOVEMBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have challenged preliminary notifications issued by respondent No. 1 and 3 under Section 11 and 19 of the Right To Fair Compensation And Transparency In Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation And Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short 'said Act'). A prayer is also made seeking direction against respondents No. 1 to 4 to follow the provisions of said Act by issuing fresh notification under Section 11 after carrying out social impact assessment.

2. During the pendency of petition, acquisition process is completed. Learned advocate for the acquiring body submits that

compensation is paid to the petitioners.

3. In view of these subsequent developments, nothing survives in the petition and the petition is therefore disposed of as *infructuous*.

(VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)