



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

13 WRIT PETITION NO. 454 OF 2025

Lalita Rajaram Potdar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Prashant R.Nangare, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. P. D. Patil, AGP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 15th JANUARY 2025

PC :-

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned AGP for Respondent-State.
2. Looking at the nature of the prayers, no notice is required to Respondent No.6. The petitioner has challenged the order passed by the learned Registrar General, under Money Lenders Act dated 17th May 2024. The learned Registrar General by way of impugned order has refused to entertain the revision filed by the petitioner under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Money Lending (Regulation) Act, 2014.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner relies upon the order passed by this Court in Review Application (Civil) No.196 of 2024 in Writ Petition No.14387 of 2019. This Court has held that the revision is maintainable against the orders passed by the Divisional Registrar under Money Lending Act before the Registrar General of Money Lending.

4. In view of the above position, he submits that the revision filed by the petitioner before the Respondent bearing Revision Application No.36 of 2020 be restored to its original position.

5. Since this order was passed without issuing notice to the Respondent No.6, this Court finds that no notice is required to Respondent No.6.

6. In view of the order in Review Application (Civil) No.196 of 2024, the petition stands allowed.

7. The impugned order passed by the learned Registrar General dated 17th May 2024 is quashed and set aside.

8. Revision Application No.36 of 2020 stands restored before the learned Registrar General Money Lending.

9. After restoration of the revision, the learned Registrar is expected to decide the same within a period of six months thereafter.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]