



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 326 OF 2025  
IN WP/4704/2009**

**MAHARASHTRA HOUSING AND AREA DEVELOPMENT  
AUTHORITY AND ORS**

**VERSUS**

**SANMUKHDAS GURUDASMAL AHUJA AND ORS**

...

Shri Kadethankar Ajit B., Advocate for the Applicants.  
Shri Shreyash Deshpande, Advocate h/f Shri Tapan K. Sant,  
Advocate for the original Petitioners.  
Shri V.M. Kagne, AGP for the Respondents/State.

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL**

**&**

**PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2025**

**Per Court :-**

Heard the learned advocate Shri Kadethankar for the applicant MHADA and the learned advocate for the original petitioners.

2. By way of this application, the applicant MHADA is seeking permission for executing conveyance deeds in favour of the beneficiaries in the light of the observations of this Court in paragraph No.3 in the order dated 15.10.2010 whereby, it was expressly directed that the MHADA shall not indulge in any

communication in respect of the demand notice.

3. In fact, paragraph 3 of the order dated 15.10.2020 reads as under:-

*“3. In future, without prior permission of the Court, no communication in respect of any demand notice shall be issued by MHADA, otherwise the Court may take action for Contempt of Court.”*

4. A plain reading of the order does not indicate that there was any other embargo on the rights of the MHADA to undertake any process except of issuing demand notices to the petitioners, who were before this Court. No permission as is being solicited was in contemplation of the Court while passing the order on 15.10.2010.

5. The Civil Application is disposed of.

*kps*

( PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) ( MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)