



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

8 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10944 OF 2025
IN RAST/34745/2024

EKNATH VISHNATH MARKAD L.RS. BAJIRAO EKNATH
MARKAD
VERSUS
BALU BABASAHEB KUTE AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Deshmukh Shambhuraje V.
Advocate for respective Respondents : Mr. M. A. Kulkarni
(Through V. C.).

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 10.10.2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned counsel Mr. Deshmukh for the applicant.
2. Applicant seeks to condone delay of 6281 days in preferring review application.
3. Review is filed in respect of order dated 07.09.2007 passed in Second Appeal No.484 of 2003 dismissing the second appeal. I have considered the reasons stated in paragraph Nos.2 and 3. No material particulars are provided for the reasons stated in the application. The delay is inordinate. The reasons are not convincing. The decisions rendered by competent forum in the hierarchy should gain finality at some

point of time. I have find no substance in the reasons stated in the application for condonation of delay.

4. Civil application is rejected.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

...

vmk/-