



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2703 OF 2025 IN WP/13397/2024

NAZEMA ATHER SULTANA MIRZA AZMATULLAH BAIG
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Sambhaji G. Munde
AGP for Respondents/State : Ms. R. R. Tandale
...

**CORAM : S. G. MEHARE &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 10-03-2025

PER COURT:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant.
2. This petition seems to be filed casually without going through the so-called representation. The said representation is absolutely silent about the relief which the petitioner wants. A single line is written that some injustice has been caused with her. Issuing direction to the respondents/authorities is not a mechanical process. Same material before the Court to identify the issue that may be decided to execute.
3. As usual, on the next day after representation was submitted to respondent/authority, the writ petition is filed. Even no breathing time is given to the authority. This seems not a good practice. The Court should refrain from entertaining the petition.

4. For the above reasons, the civil application stands dismissed.
5. No procedure is followed for filing the civil application for the the same relief in a decided matter. For this reason also, the civil application stands dismissed.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[S. G. MEHARE]
JUDGE

rrd