



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1022 WRIT PETITION NO.15155 OF 2025

Saurabh Subhashroa Gaikwad

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

AND

1023 WRIT PETITION NO.15156 OF 2025

Renuka Guruling Swami

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

AND

1045 WRIT PETITION NO.15181 OF 2025

Neeti Neketan Shikshan Sanstha Jamb Through Its President And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Mr. R. I. Wakade, Advocate for the Petitioners in all the petitions.

Mr. N. S. Tekale and Mr. R. S. Wani, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 5/State in respective petitions..

Mr. D. A. Karnik, Advocate for Respondent Nos.6 and 7.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 16 DECEMBER 2025

ORDER :

. Considering the limited controversy raised in the present petitions, these petitions can be disposed of by appropriate directions.

2. It is brought to the notice of this Court that in a similar situation, in **Mansi Arun Kelkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others [Writ Petition No.1021 of 2025 decided on 03.02.2025]** this Court has disposed of the writ petition directing that a similar proposal of petitioner in the said case be decided within four weeks. The operative portion of said order reads as under :-

“7.The writ petition is allowed. Respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the petitioner’s proposal dated 31.07.2024 in accordance with Rule 41 and 41-A of the M.E.P.S. Rules, 1981, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within 4 weeks, without referring to the Government Resolutions dated 29.04.2024 and 03.10.2024.”

3. In the present case also, the petitioners in respective petitions are seeking similar relief with regard to the decision on the proposals of their transfer from unaided to aided post/division, as Assistant Teacher.

4. The Writ Petitions are allowed by directing respondent – Education Officer (Secondary) to decide the pending proposals of the petitioners in terms of law laid down by this Court in Writ Petition No.1021 of 2025,

particularly the operative direction contained in paragraph No.7, quoted hereinabove.

5. Pending Civil Applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm