



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**964 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4213 OF 2025  
IN APEALST/11662/2025  
WITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4424 OF 2025  
IN APEALST/12311/2025**

**SITARAM APPA TALWARE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...  
Mr. P. S. Patil, APP for Respondent-State

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND  
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

**DATED : 05/12/2025.**

**P. C. :**

1. It appears that despite the absence of the applicants, we had issued notice to the respondents on the last occasion in both the applications. Today also, the applicants and their advocate remain absent. However, since both the applications pertain to condonation of delay in filing appeals against the order of conviction, we deem it appropriate to condone the delay as prayed in both these applications. Accordingly, both the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).

2. The appeals be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

**( Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J. )**

**( SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J. )**