



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**926 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2507 OF 2024
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4862 OF 2024**

SHOBHA @ NAMAI DILIP SURYAWANSHI AND OTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Sachin Subhash Panale, Advocate for Applicants
Mr. S. J. Salgare, APP for Respondent-State
Mr. Kendre Shankar Balaji, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
MEHROZ K. PATHAN, JJ.**

DATED : 16/10/2025.

P. C. :

1. These applications are filed by the respective applicants seeking quashment of FIR in Crime No. 84 of 2024, registered with Shirur Anantpal Police Station, District Latur, for offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 504, and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, based on the report lodged by respondent No.2. The applicants also seek quashing of the charge sheet and the criminal proceedings bearing RCC No. 547 of 2024 arising out of the same.

2. During the pendency of these applications, the matter was referred to learned Mediator Shri Raghavendra Bharaswadkar, and the mediation was successful in view of the compromise terms entered into between the rival parties. The said compromise terms are marked as 'X' for identification.

3. Applicant No.1 – Ashok, who is the husband of respondent No.2, is present before the Court and respondent No.2 – wife is also present virtually through video conferencing. Both of them have admitted the contents of the compromise terms. The other applicants have also signed the said compromise terms.

4. It appears that applicant Ashok and respondent No.2 – his wife have mutually decided to separate and have accordingly filed a divorce petition bearing No. F-127 of 2024, which is pending before the Family Court at Latur.

5. Further, the consent terms indicate that respondent No.2 – wife has agreed to the quashment of the aforesaid FIR and the criminal proceedings arising therefrom. Being a doctor by profession and out of her own free will, she has also relinquished her right to claim alimony.

6. In light of the above, the compromise terms between the parties are accepted. Continuation of the criminal proceedings against the applicants, therefore, would amount to an abuse of the process of law as the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.

7. Accordingly, both the applications stand allowed in terms of prayer clauses – B, B-1, and B, respectively and disposed of.

(MEHROZ K. PATHAN, J.) (SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE , J.)