



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 768 OF 2017
IN WP/11545/2015**

GOPI KISHAN GHODE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Sagar S. Phatale, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Respondent/State
Mr. K. J. Suryawanshi Kamlakar, Advocate for Respondent No.3
Mr. Jiwan J. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.4
...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SMT. VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 24th SEPTEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Respondents have considered the claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground and came to conclusion that they are not in a position to give appointment to the petitioner due to financial constraints.
2. By considering claim of the petitioner, respondents have complied with the orders passed by this Court directing them to consider the claim of petitioner.
3. In view of compliance of the order, contempt petition is disposed of. If the respondents decide to make new appointments, they shall consider the claim of petitioner peremptorily.

(VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)