



(1)

26criapln4800.24

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

26 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4800 OF 2024

MAYURESH ANIL JADHAV AND OTHERS

....Applicants

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent

Mr. S. B. Kadu, Advocate for the applicants

Mr. V. V. Kotecha, APP for the respondents/State

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 10th SEPTEMBER, 2025

P. C.

1. Learned APP points out that the bailable warrant has been issued against the applicants.

2. It shows that the applicants are not remaining present before the learned trial court. It is in fact inherent condition even if the applicants are released under section 41-A of the Cr. P. C. that they should remain present before the trial court. When bailable warrant has been issued, it shows that

inherent condition has not been adhere to.

3. We do not want to exercise our power under Section 482 of the Cr. P. C. in favour of such persons who is not abiding the law. Therefore, the criminal application stands disposed off.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.] [SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

VishalK/26criapln4800.24