



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 270 OF 2024  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 12153 OF 2024**

Deepak Laxmanrao AnalDas .. Applicant

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Gautam J. Karne, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Shri S. K. Tambe, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1, 3 and 4.  
Mrs. Yogita S. Thorat, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**CORAM : S. G. MEHARE AND  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.  
DATE : 04TH FEBRUARY, 2025.**

**ORDER :**

. Heard learned counsel for the applicant. The applicant seeks review of the order dated 11th November, 2024. He referred to paragraph No. 11 of the order, which is about grace marks. Specific findings have been given about grace marks. Whatever grace marks claimed, it was not demonstrated on what basis the grace marks were given. Therefore, specific findings of facts were given.

2. Now, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is one Government Resolution. Now he wanted to explain how much grace marks he is entitled to. The review is not in the

purview of Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The principle laid down for review has not been *prima facie* established. The fact finding cannot be reviewed. Therefore, we do not find substance in the review application. The review application is dismissed in limine.

**[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]**

**[S. G. MEHARE, J.]**

*bsb/Feb. 25*