



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 13617 OF 2025

**MAHANUBHAV SHRI DATTA MANDIR JIRNODHAR VIKAS
MANDAL THROUGH ITS TRUSTEE**

VERSUS

**THE SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER PAITHAN PHULAMBRI AND
OTHERS**

...

Advocate for the Petitioner :

Mr. A. K. Shingare h/f. Mr. Ghute Amit T.
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. V. K. Kotecha

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 14.11.2025

PER COURT:

1. Heard.

2. The petitioner challenges the concurrent orders passed by the authorities under the Mamlatdars Courts Act directing the petitioner to open the obstructed pathway. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the authorities constituted has erroneously held that the respondents are entitled to access the pathway and that there is no right to the respondents.

3. Findings on facts are recorded by the authorities in a proceeding of summary nature and based on the spot inspection. Considering that the findings are summary in nature, this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India would not re-

examine the same i.e. concurrent findings of facts of the authorities below and accordingly the writ petition is disposed of with observations made below.

4. Any interim or final order passed by the authorities constituted under Mamlatdars' Courts Act would be subject to interim or final orders passed by the civil court qua the same subject matter. The order passed by the civil court in a civil suit qua the same subject matter would override the order passed by the authority under the Mamlatdars' Courts Act. Section 22 of the Mamlatdars' Courts Act in addition also provides that in the event any wrongful road has been granted to the applicant in a proceeding under Mamlatdars' Courts Act, the aggrieved side can claim damages in civil suit for the time he has been kept out of possession of any property or out of enjoyment of any user. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the civil court to seek such remedy as may be available in law by filing appropriate proceedings.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the event the impugned orders are implemented before filing of the civil suit, the proceedings before the civil court would be seriously hampered.

Considering this submission, authorities constituted under the Mamlatdars' Courts Act shall not implement the impugned orders, if already not implemented, for the period of three weeks from today so as to enable the petitioner to approach the civil Court.

6. I have not issued notice to other side as it would consume further time. Liberty is reserved to the respondents to approach this Court for recall of this order if they are aggrieved. It is made clear that the present order is not made on the merits of the matter and the same is made only to enable the petitioner to approach the civil court.

7. In the event, such civil proceeding is filed before the civil court qua the subject matter, the civil court would determine the same without being influenced by the observations made by this Court or by the authorities constituted under the Mamlatdars' Courts Act.

8. With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]