



{1}

CA 14238 OF 19 IN SR.. 44.odt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14238 OF 2019
SECOND APPEAL NO. 624 OF 2019

LILADHAR VASANT PATIL

VERSUS

RAMDAS SONU KUMBHAR (DEAD) LRS ASHOK AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Rane Girish S.

Advocate for Respondents : Mr. 1) Patil Atmaram J For R/1 To 4 (ea),
R/5/a Is Served, ., 4) 4) Adv. Anand D. Dale For R/5/b, R/5/c, R/6 And
R/7 (ea)

...

CORAM : S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATE : 15TH JANUARY, 2025.

P.C. :-

1. Heard Mr. Rane, learned advocate appearing for applicant and Mr. Patil learned advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

2. In view of admission of appeal, it is necessary that the parties shall not created third party interest in the suit property. Admittedly, defendants are in possession. However, on the basis of entries in the revenue record, apprehension is raised that they may create third party interest. In that view of the matter, there shall be ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (B), till final disposal of the appeal.

3. Application stands disposed.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]

grt/-