



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

36 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2200 OF 2024

Sanket Siddharth Pawar  
VERSUS  
The State of Maharashtra

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Jadhav Satej S  
APP for Respondents: Mr. S.B. Narwade

.....

**CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**  
**DATED : 8<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2025.**

**PER COURT :-**

1. After hearing learned advocate for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State, when this Court expressed disinclination to allow the application, learned advocate for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this application.
2. Leave granted. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn.
3. Learned A.P.P. submits that the trial has been started and evidence of two witnesses is recorded.
4. Considering the aforesaid aspect, the concerned Sessions Court is directed to decide the case as early as possible and

preferably within four months from today, with following directions:-

- i) The concerned court is directed to keep the matter at least twice in a week and conduct the trial in view of literal sense of word “session”. Needless to state that the session means once it is started it shall not be stopped.
- ii) If the concerned advocates are not helping or prolonging the matter, then the court is directed to proceed further as per the provisions of law. In addition thereto, it may impose heavy costs upon the concerned accused.
- iii) If the accused are not produced by the jail authority or escort is not provided, the court is directed to conduct the meeting and to inform them that court can take action as per Chapter X of I.P.C. i.e. the contempt of lawful authority of public servant for not following the directions of the authority. The learned court may record the evidence through video conferencing and conclude the trial as early as possible.
- iv) The learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court is directed to communicate this order to the concerned Court immediately.

**(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)**

rlj/