



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**964 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4213 OF 2025
IN APEALST/11662/2025
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4424 OF 2025
IN APEALST/12311/2025**

**SITARAM APPA TALWARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...
Mr. P. S. Patil, APP for Respondent-State

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATED : 05/12/2025.

P. C. :

1. It appears that despite the absence of the applicants, we had issued notice to the respondents on the last occasion in both the applications. Today also, the applicants and their advocate remain absent. However, since both the applications pertain to condonation of delay in filing appeals against the order of conviction, we deem it appropriate to condone the delay as prayed in both these applications. Accordingly, both the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).

2. The appeals be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)