



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

160 WRIT PETITION NO. 12995 OF 2025

ARPITA VIJAY HALGADE ALIAS ARPITA UDAYRAJ GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Krishna P. Rodge Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. R.B. Dhaware, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

...

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 12587 OF 2025

SIDDHARTHKUMAR DIGAMBARRAO SURYAWANSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Shrikant B. Madde Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Wani, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

...

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 12614 OF 2025

DR. SIDHARTHKUMAR SURYAWANSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Shrikant B. Madde Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Narwade, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

...

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 13036 OF 2025

HEMANT BALASAHEB JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE ELECTION COMMISSION AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Santosh B. Gastgar Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 13037 OF 2025

JAGRUTI KUNDAN PHEGADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Tapan K. Sant Advocate for Petitioner.
Ms. S.S. Joshi, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

...
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 13039 OF 2025

VAISHALI LALCHAND PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Jitendra V. Patil Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. A.B. Girase, G.P. for Respondent-State.

...
**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 3rd NOVEMBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. Writ Petition Nos. 12587 of 2025, 12614 of 2025, 13036 of 2025, 13037 of 2025 and 13039 of 2025 are not on Board. Upon mentioning, taken on Board.

2. In Writ Petition No.11974 of 2025 (Vedant Bhupendra Jadhav vs. Chief Election Commissioner and others) and companion matters, vide order dated 13th October 2025, in Para No.5, this Court has observed thus:-

"5. Now, in Writ Petition No.12707 of 2025, the petitioner seeks to change the cut-off date, which would definitely affect the election. A similar situation arises in Writ Petition No.12608 of 2025. As regards the inclusion of the petitioner's name in Writ Petition No.12974 of 2025, it is stated that his name was not available on the 'ERONET' portal even as of 01.09.2025. It is then stated that if it is made available, a decision can be taken accordingly. This ultimately affects the election because the petitioner is relying on his right to vote; therefore, in our opinion, it is covered under 'etc.' "

3. Considering the prayers made in all these Petitions, i.e. inclusion of name of the petitioners in the voters list, challenge toward formation activity, reservation to schedule caste (general) category for the post of President of Municipal Council, those would definitely affect the election.

4. The Registry is, therefore, directed to take immediate steps for transfer of record and proceeding of all these Writ Petitions to the Principal Seat at Bombay.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE