



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 849 OF 2023
IN WP/3726/2001**

GANGADHAR BHIKAJI MEHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Kunal Arvind Kale, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Respondent/State
...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.J.**

DATE : 05th AUGUST, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Learned AGP makes statement that in the order of this Court itself it is observed that property of the petitioner is released, and therefore, it is not necessary to issue notification under Section 127(2) of the Maharashtra Regional And Town Planning Act, 1966, as the case of the petitioner is prior to the amendment.
2. Faced with this situation, learned advocate for petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission to not press the contempt petition.
3. Permission granted. Contempt petition is disposed of as not pressed.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)