



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

13 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4517 OF 2024

LAXMANAPPA VAIJANATHAPPA MULANGE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Mr. Sagar S. Ghate, Advocate for Applicant. (Absent)
Mrs. P. R. Bharaswadkar, APP for Respondent No.1/State.
Mr. Chetan B. Chaudhari, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 02 JULY 2025

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for the applicant is absent. He was absent on 06.05.2025 also. It was clearly stated in the order that if he remains absent on next date i.e. today, then the application is liable to be dismissed. The matter was kept back at the instance of respondent No.2 in the morning session, but even at that time learned Advocate for the applicant was absent. In the second session also, he is absent. It appears that the applicant is not interested to proceed with the matter. Under such circumstance, the application stands dismissed.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm