



912 CA 5349-2022 to 915, 917 & 918

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5349 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 2123 OF 2022

ASARAM BAPURAO YESLOTE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. R.B. Dhaware – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

AND
913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12649 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14111 OF 2022

KOKILABAI BHAGWAN PATIL
VERSUS
SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER JALGAON AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. R.D. Raut – AGP for Respondent No.1, State
Mr. Bipinchandra K. Patil – Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND
914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12789 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 15125 OF 2022

MANIKRAO S/O YADAVRAO PADOL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. D.J. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. S.G. Bhalerao – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND

**915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13961 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 16336 OF 2022**

SHASHIKALA W/O RATAN PANJAGE (DIED) THROUGH L.RS.
RATAN AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. R.B. Dhaware – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. S.G. Bhalerao – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND

**917 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2862 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32017 OF 2022**

SHRIRAM VISHWANATH SHELKE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. D.J. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. S.G. Bhalerao – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND

**918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6745 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32704 OF 2022**

BHIMA DAGDU SADAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Durgesh M. Pingale – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. R.B. Dhaware – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 11th February, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. Learned A.G.P. as well as learned Counsel for acquiring body in one matter strongly opposed the application on the ground that, no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to cost of Rs.3,000/- (rupees Three Thousands only) each to be paid to be paid to the Registry of this Court for utilization of High Court Dispensary, Bench at Aurangabad.
5. It is made clear that, the applicants shall not claim any interest and statutory benefits for the periods of aforesaid delay.
6. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

7. The applications are accordingly disposed of.
8. Call for record and proceeding.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE