



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 933 OF 2024
IN WP/4742/2024**

KABIRODDIN S/O SAIFUDDIN KAZI AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Narayan Y. Chavan, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mrs. V. P. Dama, AGP for Respondent/State
...

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 02nd DECEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :

1. By filing affidavit-in-reply, respondent No.2 Tahsildar has claimed that representation of the petitioners is decided and accordingly necessary corrections are carried out in the mutation entry.
2. Learned advocate for petitioners states that the representation of petitioners is incorrectly decided. We are not going into the merits of the decision taken by the respondents.
3. In view of compliance of the order, the contempt petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the decision, if they are aggrieved by the same.

(VAISHALI PATIL JADHAV, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)