



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3339 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32527 OF 2019

PANDURANG SHYAMRAO BANBARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. Rajdeep D. Raut – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.P. Narwade – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND
920 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3340 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32521 OF 2019

BALAJI NAMDEV DAPKE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. D.J. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.P. Narwade – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND
922 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3342 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32483 OF 2019

BALAJI MAROTI BANBARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. Rajdeep D. Raut – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.P. Narwade – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND

**923 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3343 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32517 OF 2019**

CHANDAR PUNDLIKRAO KOTHARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. D.J. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.P. Narwade – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND
**924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3346 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32514 OF 2019**

DIPAK BALAJI KOTHARE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. R.B. Dhaware – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

AND
**925 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3349 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32504 OF 2019**

HANMANT RAJARAM BANBARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicant
Mr. Rajdeep D. Raut – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

AND
**927 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3392 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32493 OF 2019**

DADARAO PUNDLIK BANBARE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. R.B. Dhaware – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

AND

**928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3393 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32489 OF 2019**

MADHAV BAPURAO BANBARE AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. Rajdeep D. Raut – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State
Mr. V.P. Narwade – Advocate for Respondent No.3

....

AND

**929 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3394 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 32486 OF 2019**

VISHWAMBAR PUNDA DAPKE AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Ms. Laxmi R. Thakur h/f. Mr. L.C. Patil – Advocate for Applicants
Mr. D.J. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, State

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 27.02.2025

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay

periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. Learned A.G.P. as well as learned Counsel for acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods. However all the delay periods in these applications are below 100 days and therefore, considering the short periods of delay the same stand condoned.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE

Pooja Kale