

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

1003 WRIT PETITION NO. 13816 OF 2021

**APARNA MADHUKAR THAKUR @ APARNA RAJENDRA MORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

...
Mr. S.C. Yeramwar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. M.K. Goyanka, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Mr. P.D. Bachate, Advocate for respondent No.3.
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 15 JANUARY 2025

ORDER:-

. The petitioner is challenging common judgment and order whereby the Committee has invalidated her claim as also the claims of Anshu Thakur, Monika Thakur and Digambar Thakur.

2. It is being pointed out that in Writ Petition No.13853 of 2021 by the order dated 28 August 2023 and in Writ Petition No. 13831 of 2021 by the order dated 6 December 2024, the same order to the extent of two individuals Anshu and Monika has been quashed and set aside respectively. They have been directed to be issued with the certificate of validity.

3. In the matter of Digambar, the impugned order directed recalling of his certificate of validity and even to that extent, on his challenge in Writ Petition No. 13827 of 2021, this Court quashed and set aside the impugned judgment and order.

4. Since it is a matter of deciding sustainability of judgment and order which is common in respect of these three individuals as also the petitioner, logically and legally no different view is possible.

5. Precisely, for this reason, the petition is allowed. The impugned order is quashed and set aside to the extent of the petitioner. The Committee shall issue her certificate of validity in the prescribed form.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR)
JUDGE

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE