



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

12 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2866 OF 2025
IN WP/125/2023

Rayees Khan Zafar Khan Pathan

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Munde Sambhaji G, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondents State

.....

CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
Y. G. KHOBRADE, JJ.

DATE : 28th July, 2025

P. C. :

1. Office note shows that Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are yet to be served in this application seeking restoration of the writ petition.

2. Learned counsel Mr. A. B. Dhongade appears and submits that he has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent Nos. 5 and 6. It is brought to our notice that the learned counsel had filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the said respondents in the writ petition. Therefore, he is permitted to submit a note of appearance for the said respondents. Service is treated as complete.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Delay is condoned and the writ petition is restored to file, subject to the office objections being removed within two weeks from today.

4. If the office objections are not removed, the order passed today shall stand recalled without reference to the Court.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)