



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

18 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1817 OF 2024

NIKHIL JAGDISH BADHE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. D. R. Adhav, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. N. R. Dayama, APP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4/State.
Ms. Megha B. Vaishnav, Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 7.
...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
ROHIT W. JOSHI, JJ.**
DATE : 13 JANUARY 2025

ORDER :

. The girl has been produced before us. We have interacted with her in Chamber in presence of her Advocate, learned APP as well as the learned Advocate for the petitioner. Taking into consideration the scope of the petition, we would only like to mention here that the girl is major and she says that she is staying with her parents as per her own wish. Under the said circumstance, no question is made out for granting custody to the petitioner/husband, as he claims. Needless to mention that when the girl is produced in view of notice issued to respondent No.4 and at the cost of repetition when she states that nobody has

confined her, we do not find this to be a fit case where we should exercise our constitutional powers.

2. Writ Petition stands dismissed.

[ROHIT W. JOSHI]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

scm