



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

973 WRIT PETITION NO.12424 OF 2025

SUVARNA BABURAO KALSE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. K.V. Patil, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. S.D. Ghayal, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 3

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 08th OCTOBER, 2025

ORDER :

. Considering the limited controversy raised in present petition, it can be disposed of by appropriate directions.

2 It is brought to the notice of this Court that in a similar situation, in the case of **Mansi Arun Kelkar vs. The State of Maharashtra and others** in Writ Petition No.1021 of 2025, on 03.02.2025 this Court disposed of writ petition directing that a similar proposal of petitioner in the said case be decided within four weeks. The operative portion of said order reads as

under -

“7 The writ petition is allowed. Respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the petitioner’s proposal dated 31.07.2024 in accordance with Rule 41 and 41-A of the M.E.P.S. Rules, 1981, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within 4 weeks, without referring to the Government Resolutions dated 29.04.2024 and 03.10.2024.”

3 In the present case also, petitioner is seeking a similar relief with regard to the decision on the proposal of her transfer from unaided to aided post/division, as Assistant Teacher.

4 Writ Petition is allowed by directing respondent – Education Officer (Secondary), to decide the pending proposal of petitioner in terms of law laid down by this Court in Writ Petition No.1021 of 2025, particularly the operative direction contained in paragraph No.7, quoted herein above.

5 Pending Civil Applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

agd