



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**34 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 802 OF 2025
IN WP/7247/2025**

PRAGATI GOPAL SAINDANE

VERSUS

KIRAN MALI

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. B.S.Deshmukh

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. N.D. Batule

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND

VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.

DATED : 17th NOVEMBER, 2025.

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for the petitioner states that the claim of the petitioner is decided and the order of the Committee is served on the petitioner. Therefore, the purpose of filing of the contempt petition is served.

2. Contempt Petition, is therefore disposed of as infructuous.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,J.)