



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1711 OF 2024

Suresh Maroti Bangar
Dhondiram Sopan Bangar
Rameshwar Dhondiram Bangar

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and another.

...

Shri Shaikh Tarek Mobin H., Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri R.S. Wani, APP for the Respondents/ State.

...

CORAM : ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.

DATE : 07 August 2025

P. C. :-

1. Not on the Board. Mentioned and taken on Board.
2. A praecipe is moved by the learned Advocate for the Applicants for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 31 July 2025 by which, the Anticipatory Bail Application has been allowed.
3. It appears that, inadvertently, the name of the third Applicant i.e. '**Rameshwar Dhondiram Bangar**' is missed from the cause title of the order. The learned APP would confirm that this position is correct. Apart from the above, there would be no

change in the order.

4. In view of the above, the name of **Rameshwar Dhondiram Bangar** be added after the name of Applicant No.2 i.e. Dhondiram Sopan Bangar, in the cause title in the order dated 31 July 2025. The order be, accordingly, corrected and uploaded.
5. The praecipe is disposed of in the above terms.

kps

(**ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.)**

(This order dated 31 July 2025 stands corrected and uploaded in view of the order dated 07 August 2025 passed on the motion for speaking to the minutes.)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**925 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1711 OF
2024**

Suresh Maroti Bangar
Dhondiram Sopan Bangar
Rameshwar Dhondiram Bangar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Another

.....

Mr Shaikh Tarek Mobin H., Advocate for Applicants
Mr R. S. Wani, APP for respondents/State.

.....

CORAM : ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.

DATE : 31 JULY 2025

P. C. :

1. Heard the learned Advocate Mr Shaikh for the Applicants and learned APP Mr Wani for the Respondents/State.

2. My attention is drawn to the order dated 09 October 2024 by which the Applicants were protected. The terms and conditions of such protection have been set out in paragraph No.2 of the order. Pursuant to the said order, Mr Wani, learned APP would on instructions submit that the terms and conditions have been complied with by the Applicants in this Application. There

is no breach or non-compliance of the order. It would thus mean that these Applicants have joined the investigation.

3. As far as *prima facie* case is concerned, there is nothing adverse placed by the prosecution. It is undisputed that the nature of injuries in these proceedings are of simple nature. Mr Wani would insist on physical custody of the Applicants. However, considering the factual complexion in the given case and the above observations, custodial interrogation of the Applicants at this stage in the light of the observations made above, would serve no purpose at this stage. Thus, in my view, the order dated 09 October 2024 deserves to be confirmed by passing the following order :-

ORDER

(i) In the event of arrest of the Applicants in connection with C.R. No.0368/2024 registered with Manwat Police Station, Dist. Parbhani for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 189(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2), 351(3) and 352 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing PR bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000 (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) each with one or more solvent surety in the like amount.

(ii) The Applicants shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when required, until filing of

the charge-sheet. They are further directed to co-operate in the investigation.

(iii) The Applicants shall furnish details of residential address and other contact details such as the mobile number etc. to the concerned Police Station. If there is any change in the contact details, the same shall be immediately informed to the concerned Police Station.

(iv) The Applicants shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Court without prior permission of the Court, until filing of the charge-sheet.

(v) They shall not contact and/or influence the witness/es and/or tamper with the evidence in any manner whatsoever.

4. The Anticipatory Bail Application is allowed in the above terms.

5. However, it is made clear that the observations made in this order are *prima facie* for adjudication of this Application.

[ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.]

sjk