



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10627 OF 2025

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10523 OF 2025

SATISH NAVNATH ROHAM

VERSUS

GORAKH RAOSAHEB KALE & OTHERS

....

Mr. N. D. Sonavane, Advocate for the Applicant

Mr. P. D. Patil, AGP for the Respondent – State

....

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 06.10.2025

PER COURT :-

1. The motion is made for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 26.09.2025 passed in Civil Application No.10627 of 2025 with Writ Petition No.10523 of 2025.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that inadvertently, prayer clause “B” is allowed in Civil Application No.10627 of 2025, instead of prayer clause “A”.
3. In view of the above, the civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause “A”. Necessary corrections be carried out.
4. The motion for speaking to the minutes is disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

SMS