



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

36 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16450 OF 2022
IN SA/591/2021

SAGAR BHASKAR BADGUJAR

VERSUS

DINKAR RAMDAS SARODE DIED LRS. VIJAYA DINKAR SARODE AND ORS.

...

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Ms. Shilpa D. Magre h/f Mr. V.B.
Patil

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 15.09.2025

PER COURT :

Present application is for condonation of delay, setting aside abatement and bringing legal heirs of sole respondent Dinkar Ramdas Sarode on record.

2. The learned counsel for the respondent opposes the application and submits that there are lapses on the part of the applicant, which cannot be ignored.

3. The averments in the application regarding condonation of delay are not controverted. The cause of action survives against the legal heirs of respondent Dinkar.

4. For the reasons stated in the application, it is required to be allowed. The Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A', 'B' and 'C'.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

mkd/-