



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**937 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 812 OF 2025
IN WP/3072/2024**

DIPAK BHAGWAT DOKE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Kulkarni S.S.

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.A.R. Kale

Advocate for Respondent nos.2 to 4 : Mr.A.V. Salve

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND

VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.

DATED : 11th DECEMBER, 2025.

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 4 on instructions makes a statement that the respondents are ready to give appointment order to the petitioner subject to decision of S.L.P. preferred by them. He further submits that since the model code of conduct is going on that may cause problem before the respondents while issuing appointment order.

2. We do not see any impediment in issuing appointment order to the petitioner and code of conduct would not come in the way of the respondents in issuing the appointment order to the petitioner. Appointment order as mentioned above shall be issued to the petitioner within one week from today.

3. With the above directions, the contempt petition is disposed of.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,J.)