



{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.13061 OF 2025

Smt. Kirti Mohan Patil

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.....

Mr. A.G. Ambetkar, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. A.B. Girase, Government Pleader for Respondents No.1 to 3.

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND  
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 04 NOVEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Not on board. Taken on Board.
2. The proposal for inclusion of the petitioner's name in the *Shalarth Pranali* has been rejected by respondent No.2, and therefore, the petition has become infructuous. This submission is made by the learned Advocate for the petitioner. In such circumstances, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with liberty to challenge the subsequent order.

[ HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR ]  
JUDGE

[ SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI ]  
JUDGE