



{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

968 WRIT PETITION NO. 14078 OF 2025

Sandhya Sambhaji Kardile Alias Sandhya Jalindhar Dolas

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

.....

Mr. D.R. Kale, Advocate for Petitioners

Mr. R.S. Wani, AGP for Respondents No.1 and 2

Ms. Sheetal Salunke, Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5.

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 25 NOVEMBER, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioners. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondents No.1 and 2. Ms. Sheetal Salunke, learned advocate waives service of notice for respondents No.3 to 5. No necessity to issue notice to respondent No.6.

2. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the representation made by the petitioner on 28.03.2025 was processed and report was given on 07.04.2025 by the Gramsevak, Grampanchayat, Varulwadi, District Ahilyanagar and the matter is still pending before respondent No. 3 for decision, which pertains to the appointment of respondent No. 6. If the same is decided within a stipulated period, the purpose of the petitioner would be served.

{2}

3. Learned advocate for respondents No.3 to 5, upon taking instructions, makes a statement that the said representation/inquiry would be completed within a period of one month from today.

4. In view of the said statement, we dispose of the writ petition by directing respondent No.3 to decide the said representation within a period of one month from today.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

S P Rane