



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12896 OF 2025
IN RAST/30444/2022

The Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad Aurangabad And Another
VERSUS
Gangadhar S/o Ganesh Yalpalwad And Others

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12895 OF 2025
IN RAST/27520/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12893 OF 2025
IN RAST/26265/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12891 OF 2025
IN RAST/27450/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12894 OF 2025
IN RAST/27114/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12892 OF 2025
IN RAST/24728/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12834 OF 2025
IN RAST/30447/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12869 OF 2025
IN RAST/27109/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12838 OF 2025
IN RAST/27527/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12833 OF 2025
IN RAST/30350/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12883 OF 2025
IN RAST/28510/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12880 OF 2025
IN RAST/27437/2022

Mr. Ajay S. Deshpande, Advocate for Applicant
AGP's for Respondent /State: Mr. S. D. Ghayal, Addl. G. P., Mr.P. K. Lakhotiya, Ms.R. P. Gour, Mr.S. J. Salgare, Ms.Chaitali Chaudhari-Kutti, AGP, Mr.K.K. Naik, Ms.Jayshri P. Reddy, Mr.S. R. Yadav-Lonikar, Mr.D. R. Korder, Mr.S. P. Joshi, Mr.N. D. Raje
Mr. S.K.Mathpati, for the Respondents

...

**CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATED : NOVEMBER 24, 2025

P.C.:

1. In all these Civil Applications the prayer is for seeking condonation of delay which is more or less same as in Civil Application No.6737 of 2025 which has been rejected by this Court vide judgment and order dated 20.11.2025. In the light of the reasons recorded by this Court in Civil Application No.6737 of 2025, we don't find any substance in these Civil Applications.

2. It is also informed across the bar that the Special Leave Petitions challenging the order under Review in all these Civil Applications has also been dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court by an order dated 03.03.2025 in Special Leave Petition(Civil) Diary No.4882 of 2025 and other connected matters (*The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Dilip Govinda Sonawane & Ors.*), this is also one of the facet why we are not inclined to condone the delay in filing Review Petitions. Hence, Civil Applications stand rejected.

3. Civil Applications disposed of accordingly.
4. In view of the rejection of Civil Applications for condonation of delay in filing Review Petitions, Review Petitions do not survive and the same stand disposed of accordingly.

[ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.]

[R. G. AVACHAT, J.]