



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

32 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3238 OF 2023

**BALAJI VISHWANATHRAO SHINDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

Ms. Khushi Varma h/f. Mr. P. R. Katneshwarkar, Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. A. R. Kale, Addl. P. P. for Respondent-State.
Mr. Walmik Devrao Mahale and Mr. Pradeep Gurunath Tambade,
Advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 16th APRIL, 2025

P.C.:

1. Ms. Khushi Varma holding for Mr. P. R. Katneshwarkar, learned counsel for the Applicant points out the order passed by this Court on 13th October 2023, wherein it was stated that “After having heard the learned counsel for the applicant and on going through the police papers, we are not inclined to issue notice on merits. The learned counsel for the applicant, however, submits that the matter may be settled once the informant is before this Court in response to a notice”. It appears that the notice was then issued to the Respondents. The Respondent No.2 appeared through Advocate. The concerned Advocate is not before this Court today and the learned Advocate

holding for Mr. Katneshwarkar submits that she as well as her senior has no instructions from the Applicant.

2. It appears that the Applicant has lost the interest and it also appears that there was no compromise moves taken up by the Applicant.

3. Under such circumstances, the application stands dismissed.

[MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]