



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

929 CRA NO. 92 OF 2025

SMT. CHHAYA ASHOK FIRODIA AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

JIJABAI DARMA SALVE (DECEASED) THRU. RESPONDENT NO. 2 TO 5

...

Mr. Rushikesh Lohe h/f. Mr. A. P. Bhandari, Advocate for the applicants

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10835 OF 2025

IN CRA/92/2025

SMT. CHHAYA ASHOK FIRODIA AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

JIJABAI DARMA SALVE (DECEASED) THRU. RESPONDENT NO. 2 TO 5 AND
ANOTHER

...

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.

DATE : 01.10.2025

PER COURT :-

1. It is submitted that the notices issued by this Court to respondent Nos. 2 to 4, 20-A to 20-C, 20-D-1 to 20-D-2, 20E, and 20-F were returned unserved with the endorsement that the respondents are not residing at the given addresses. Mr. Rushikesh Lohe, on behalf of Mr. A. P. Bhandari, learned counsel for the applicants, submits that these addresses mentioned in the proceedings are the last and best known addresses of the respondents. It is further submitted that the same addresses were used to serve the respondents

during the trial proceedings. In view of this, the applicants are left with no alternate remedy but to file an application under Order 5 Rule 20 of the CPC seeking service of notice on such respondents by publication in the newspaper. The applicants have made out a case for substituted service under Order 5 Rule 20 of the CPC as prayed in the application.

2. In view of this, I pass the following order :

ORDER

- a. For the reasons stated in the application, Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause “B”.
 - b. Notice to respondent Nos. 2 to 4, 20-A to 20-C, 20-D-1 to 20-D-2, 20E, and 20-F shall be served by publication in the “Dainik Sakal” newspaper, Ahmednagar District Edition.
 - c. Notice made returnable on 26.11.2025.
3. Interim relief, if any, to continue till then.
4. Applicants shall submit a copy of this order to the Trial Court immediately.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.]