



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

41 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10240 OF 2024
IN WP/14532/2023

SUNITI VITTHAL MORE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Barlinge Sudhir Raghunath
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 : Mr. S. V. Hange

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR , JJ.

DATE : 12.02.2025

PER COURT :

. We have heard learned advocate for the petitioner-applicant and the learned AGP. None present for other respondents, though duly served.

2. By way of this Civil Application, restoration of the writ petition, which has been dismissed in default, has been sought with a prayer to condone the delay of 228 days.

3. The nature of the dispute and the explanation assigned in the application pragmatically deserve the delay to be condoned and the writ petition is to be restored, however, not without the usual conditions.

4. The application is allowed. The delay is condoned and the writ petition is restored subject to the petitioner depositing cost of Rs. 3,000/- in this Court and removes office objections, both within two weeks.

5. If the compliance is made, list the petition for admission on 05.03.2025.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

jhs/