



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.634 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1927 OF 2021

Manik Nagu Kadam (Die) LRs

PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Shrikant j. Sonkawade, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. A. Gaikwad, AGP for Respondent - State
Mr. B. R. Survase, Advocate for Respondent No.5
.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. By order dated 8th April, 2022, the Petitioners were directed to make a comprehensive representation and the Respondents were asked to decide the same. Accordingly, the Petitioners have made representation and the Respondents have decided the same, rejecting the prayers of the Petitioners.
2. Learned Advocate for the Petitioners states that the Petitioners possess documents to show that they were in possession of the land which is submerged in the water.
3. Per contra, learned Advocate for the Respondents contends

that, in the joint measurement, no such land, belonging to the Petitioners was found.

4. We cannot possibly go into the disputed questions of facts. Contempt Petition is, therefore, disposed of, with liberty to the Petitioners to avail appropriate remedy available in law.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV]
JUDGE

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE