



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**961 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6149 OF 2024
IN FAST/13338/2024**

**KISAN GOPICHAND CHAVAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH COLLECTOR,
COLLECTOR OFFICE, JALNA AND ORS**

.....

**962 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6151 OF 2024
IN FAST/13344/2024**

**VIJAY ARJUNRAO RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH COLLECTOR,
COLLECTOR OFFICE, JALNA AND ORS**

.....

**963 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8235 OF 2024
IN FAST/17190/2024**

**BADALBAI SURA RATHOD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA AND ORS.**

.....

**964 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11266 OF 2024
IN FAST/24073/2024**

**NANASAHEB S/O PIRAJI JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND ORS**

.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11269 OF 2024
IN FAST/24075/2024

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11270 OF 2024
IN FAST/24077/2024

...

**965 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11607 OF 2024
IN FAST/24067/2024**

MALANBAI W/O RAMESH MORE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND ORS

.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11604 OF 2024
IN FAST/24065/2024

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11605 OF 2024
IN FAST/24069/2024

...

**966 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11685 OF 2024
IN FAST/24071/2024**

KISHOR S/O BHARAT MORE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND ORS

.....

Advocate for the Applicants in all matters: Mr.S.M.Kakade
AGP for Respondent/State in all matters : Mr.B.A.Shinde

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 4th JULY 2025

PER COURT :

. Heard learned counsel Mr.Kakade for applicants and learned AGP Mr.Shinde for the respondents/State.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants tenders on record a table providing relevant details. These applications are decided by common order. Following are the details :

Sr.No.	Particulars	CA No.	No of Days delay	Date of filing	Date of 1 st order
961	Kisan Gopichand Chavan vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/6149/2024	2146	04.05.2024	28.03.2025
962	Vijay Arjunrao Rathod vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/6151/2024	2143	04.05.2024	28.03.2025
963	Badalbai Sura Rathod vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/8235/2024	2199	28.06.2024	28.03.2025
964	Nanasaheb Piraji Jadhav vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11266/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025
	Subhash Narayan Deshmukh vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11269/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025
	Vishwnath Shrirangrao More vs. The State of	CA/11270/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025

	Maharashtra				
965	Malanbai Ramesh More vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11607/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025
	Baban Sarjerao More vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11604/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025
	Bharat Sarjerao More vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11605/2024	3011	29.08.2024	28.03.2025
966	Kishor Bharat More vs. The State of Maharashtra	CA/11685/2024	2983	29.08.2024	28.03.2025

3. The delay caused in each appeal preferred by agriculturists/claimants have been quoted above. There are lapses on the part of applicants in securing first order after filing of the matters in High Court. Last two columns of above table would indicate the lapses. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that for the reasons stated in the applications, it is desirable to condone the delay. Applicants are ready to forego the interest and statutory benefits.

4. Learned AGP opposes the applications. It is contended that inordinate delay has not been properly explained. It is submitted that after filing of the matters, no prompt steps have been taken by the applicants by circulating the matters which has caused further delays.

5. I have gone through the contents of the application for condonation of delay. Those are uncontroverted and can not be discarded. For the reasons stated therein, I am inclined to condone delay on certain conditions :

a) Applicants shall not be entitled to interest and the statutory benefits for the delayed period as well as for 50% period of the lapses which is from the date of filing of the appeals and date of order as enumerated in last two columns of the table quoted above.

6. Civil applications are allowed thereby condoning the delay as specifically stated in the table referred above on condition that applicants shall not be entitled to interest and the statutory benefits as well as 50% period of the lapses i.e from date of filing of the appeals to the date of first order.

[SHAILESH P BRAHME, J.]

vsj..