



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

922 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3234 OF 2025
IN APEAL/624/2025

1. Uttam Chandrabhan Narwade,
Age : 68, Occupation: Agriculture,
R/o.: Rahuli (Kh.), Tq. & Dist. Hingoli.
2. Chandrabhumi Uttamrao Narwade,
Age : 35, Occupation: Private Job,
R/o.: Rahuli (Kh.), Tq. & Dist. Hingoli.
3. Haribhau Chandrabhan Narwade,
Age : 73, Occupation: Labour,
R/o.: Rahuli (Kh.), Tq. & Dist. Hingoli.
4. Arvind Uttamrao Narwade,
Age : 44, Occupation: Advocate,
R/o.: Rahuli (Kh.), Tq. & Dist. Hingoli.
5. Sau. Ashalata Uttamrao Narwade,
Age : 63, Occupation: Labour,
R/o.: Rahuli (Kh.), Tq. & Dist. Hingoli. ... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,
through Police Inspector,
Hingoli (Rural) Police Station,
Tq. & Dist. Hingoli. ... Respondent.

...
Mr. Vishal Amritlal Bagdiya, Advocate for Applicants.
Mr. G. O. Wattamwar, APP for Respondents-State.

...

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 20 AUGUST, 2025

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned Advocates for both the sides.

2. This is an application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail on account of conviction awarded by the learned Sessions Judge, Hingoli in Sessions Case No.29 of 2013 dated 8th August 2025, in which the Applicants/Accused were held liable under Sections 307, 323, 447, 148, 147, 143, read with 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that Applicants were released on bail by the learned Sessions Judge, Hingoli. They have not misused the bail during the Trial. He submits that the decision of appeal will take long time. If the application is not allowed, the Applicants will have to remain behind the bars for considerable period. He prayed to allow the application.

4. Mr. G. O. Wattamwar, learned APP submits that the Applicants are involved in the serious crime. One of the Applicants i.e. Applicant No.3 is practicing Advocate. He pointed out the statements of the witnesses, particularly, injured witnesses and also pointed out the reasons and findings of the learned Trial Court. He submitted that, if the Applicants are released on bail, the possibility of commission of similar offence cannot be ruled out. He prayed to reject the application.

5. Perused the impugned judgment and statements of the witnesses along with documents like spot panchanama, etc. No doubt,

the Applicants are convicted under Sections 307, 323, 447, 148, 147, 143, read with 149 of the Indian Penal Code, however, the Applicants have roots in the society. No any criminal proceedings pending against them.

6. Considering the peculiar facts of the case, it would be proper to release the Applicants on bail on certain conditions. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

- (i). Criminal application is allowed.
- (ii). The substantive part of sentence passed as against the Applicants by the Sessions Judge, Hingoli in Sessions Case No.29 of 2013 dated 8th August 2025 is hereby suspended till the disposal of appeal and till then the Applicants-Accused are released on bail on furnishing personal bond of Rs.25,000/- each with one surety each of the like amount.
- (iii). Bail before the Trial Court.
- (iv). Application is disposed of.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)