



ions have been carried out in view of speaking to minutes to
order dated 25.09.2025)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

974 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8887 OF 2025

IN FA/1668/2024

APPARAO SAHEB SOLANKAR DIED
THROUGH LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE
DASARATH APPARAO SOLANKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS

...

Advocate for the Applicant : Mr.Santosh N.Patne

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.B.A.Shinde

Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Rahul A. Tambe

...

975 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8888 OF 2025

IN FA/1669/2024

PRAKASH VITTHAL SOLANKAR AND ORS

VERSUS

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION
PROJECT STRENGHTING MAJBUTIKARAN
DIVISION OMERGA AND ORS

...

Advocate for the Applicant : Mr.Santosh N.Patne

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.B.A.Shinde

Advocate for Respondent No.1: Mr. Rahul A. Tambe

...

976 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8889 OF 2025

IN FA/1670/2024

RAJENDRA MANIK SOLANKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS

...

Advocate for the Applicant : Mr.Santosh N.Patne
 AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.B.A.Shinde
 Advocate for Respondent No.3: Mr. Rahul A. Tambe

...

**977 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8890 OF 2025
 IN FAST/14590/2024**

MOTIRAM SADASHIV TELIKUNE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
 THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS

...

Advocate for the Applicant : Mr.Santosh N.Patne
 AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.B.A.Shinde
 Advocate for Respondent No.3: Mr.Chetan T.Jadhav(Through VC)

...

**978 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8903 OF 2025
 IN FA/511/2025**

JAGANATH @ NAGNATH BABARAO GHOTALKAR
 @ MANE DIED THR LRS LAXMIBAI AND ORS

VERSUS

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION
 PROJECT STRENGTHING MAJBUTIKARAN
 DIVISION OMERGA AND ORS

...

Advocate for the Applicant : Mr.Santosh N.Patne
 AGP for Respondent/State : Mr.B.A.Shinde
 Advocate for Respondent No.1: Mr. Rahul A. Tambe

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 19.08.2025

PER COURT :

. These applications are for withdrawal of amounts deposited by the Respondent/Acquiring body. Following is the break-up of deposits of the amount :

SR.No. (On Board)	Particulars	Civil Application No.	Amount in Rs.	Extent of Amount (in %)
974	APPARAO SAHEB SOLANKAR DIED THROUGH LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE DASARATH APPARAO SOLANKAR <i>VERSUS</i> THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS	CA NO. 8887 OF 2025 IN FA/1668/2024	657705/-	50%
975	PRAKASH VITTHAL SOLANKAR AND ORS <i>VERSUS</i> THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT STRENGTHING MAJBUTIKARAN DIVISION OMERGA AND ORS	CA NO. 8888 OF 2025 IN FA/1669/2024	3,02,526/-	50%
976	RAJENDRA MANIK SOLANKAR <i>VERSUS</i> THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS	CA NO. 8889 OF 2025 IN FA/1670/2024	2,02,661/-	50%
977	MOTIRAM SADASHIV TELIKUNE <i>VERSUS</i> THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND ORS	CA NO. 8890 OF 2025 IN FAST/14590/2024	20,90,972/-	100%
978	JAGANATH @ NAGNATH BABARAO GHOTALKAR @ MANE DIED THR LRS LAXMIBAI AND ORS <i>VERSUS</i> THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT STRENGTHING MAJBUTIKARAN DIVISION OMERGA AND ORS	CA NO. 8903 OF 2025 IN FA/511/2025	2,87,179/-	50%

2. The Respondent/acquiring body has deposited the above referred amount and the last column indicates the extent of deposits. It reveals that in a similarly situated matters, the claimants were permitted to receive the amount **with accrued interest** deposited by the acquiring body when deposits are to the extent of 50%. I do not find any difficulty in permitting the applicants to receive entire amount deposited by the acquiring body in the above matters except in last but one matter i.e in the matter of Motiram Sadashiv Telikune vs.The State of Maharashtra through Collector Osmanabad and Ors

in Civil Application No. 8890 Of 2025 in which 100% of the amount is deposited. In the last but one matters amount of Rs.20,90,972/- is deposited by the acquiring body.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposes the disbursement of the entire amount. He would submit that as compared to earlier appeals, the amount is sizeable. It is further contended that the valuation report of the house property is vulnerable.

4. I have considered rival submissions of the parties. I find it fit to disburse amount to the extent of 60% in the matter of Motiram Sadashiv Telikune in Civil Application No. 8890 Of 2025 mentioned supra.

5. Civil applications are allowed permitting the applicants to receive the amount deposited in above appeals on furnishing undertaking to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court except in the matter of Motiram Sadashiv Telikune in Civil Application No. 8890 Of 2025 (supra).

6. In the matter of **Motiram Sadashiv Telikune** in Civil Application No. 8890 Of 2025 (supra), applicant is permitted to receive **60%** of the amount on furnishing undertaking to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.

[SHAILESH P BRAHME, J.]