



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 614 OF 2023
IN WP/11563/2021

DILIP DIGAMBAR TAYADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY URBAN
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT MANTRALAYA MUMBAI

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Y. H. Jadhav

AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. N. S. Tekale

Advocate for Respondents No. 2 and 3 : Mr. P. V. Gole h/f Mr. V.
D. Gunale

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J. AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.

Dated : April 2, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. The order of which the contempt is alleged is complied with. Though part amount was paid within the deadline given by this Court and part amount is paid after the deadline and benefits of 7th Pay Commission are yet to be released in favour of the petitioner.

Respondents, in their affidavit-in-reply, have explained that the delay in payment of gratuity, commutation of pension and revised pension as per 7th Pay Commission was due to procedural aspect and for necessary sanctions and conclusion of departmental inquiry and the queries raised by the Government Auditor in the

Special Audit. The delay is not intentional or deliberate.

2. We accept the said explanation and in view of the compliance of the order passed by this Court, the contempt petition is, disposed of.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.) (NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)

Omkar Joshi