



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

988 WRIT PETITION NO. 10165 OF 2025

SHAIKH SALEEM AHMED MOHAMMED ISMAIL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the petitioners : Mr. G.R. Syed
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.V. Hange
Advocate for respondent – Municipal Corporation : Mr. S.S. Tope
...

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 14 AUGUST 2025

PER COURT :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. Apprehension of the petitioner, is that the respondent – Municipal Corporation, in the context of project of widening of road, will proceed to demolish the structures of the petitioners.

3. It is stated that the petitioners have already received the notices from the respondent - Municipal Corporation in that regard.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent – Municipal Corporation makes a statement that insofar as the petitioners in this petition are concerned, in the event, the properties / structures belonging to the petitioners are required for executing the project of

road widening, the respondent – Municipal Corporation shall proceed strictly in accordance with law and that the mandate of the law, including the position clarified by this Court and the Supreme Court in various judgments, shall be followed in letter and spirit.

5. We are satisfied that the aforesaid statement addresses the apprehension of the petitioners and, therefore, recording the said statement, we dispose of the petition.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

[Y.G. KHOBRADE]
JUDGE

[MANISH PITALE]
JUDGE

arp/