



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

4 WRIT PETITION NO. 10190 OF 2025

SOURABH VIKAS KADLAG

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Apparao Bhimrao Kharosekar
AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. K. B. Jadhavar

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 13.10.2025

PER COURT:

1. Heard.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the notice is issued under Section 48(7) & (8) of the Act as to why the penalty should not be imposed on the vehicle of the petitioner i.e. the Tipper when the matter is pending before the concerned authority.

3. The petitioner is advised to give response to the notice and get it adjudicated before the concerned authority. The concerned authority is directed to decide the same expeditiously.

4. The Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]