



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

927 WRIT PETITION NO. 10087 OF 2023

SUSHILA YUVRAJ VAIDYA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH MINISTER REVENUE AND
FOREST DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS

...

Mr. P.P. Dawalkar – AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4, State
Mr. M.R. Andhale – Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 and 7
Mr. Swapnil A. Deshmukh – Advocate for Respondent No.6

...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 19.12.2025

PER COURT :

1. None appeared for the petitioner. The matter was kept back till 2:30 p.m. in the afternoon session. Even thereafter, none appeared for the petitioner.

2. Learned Counsel for respondent Nos.5 to 7 made a statement that the proceeding arising out of the same order under challenge was finally disposed of, during the pendency of the main proceeding. Both the learned Counsel, on instructions, submit that the present petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE]
JUDGE