



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1142 OF 2024

Bashid Baig Barati Baig Mogal ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr ...Respondents

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1192 OF 2024

Imran Baig Rahemath Baig Mirza ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr ...Respondents

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1885 OF 2024

Putlibi W/o Maulasab Shaikh ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Anr ...Respondents

• Mr. G. R. Syed, Advocate for the Applicants

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J
DATE : FEBRUARY 11, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board
for speaking to minutes in order dated 31.01.2025.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that

though this Hon'ble Court is pleased to allow the all Applications, inadvertently operative order of ABA/1885/2024 is remained to be incorporated. He pointed out that though this Court by order dated 27.09.2024 has appointed Ms. Vidya Urgunde, learned Counsel and Mr. Rahul Cheble, learned Counsel, to espouse the cause of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in ABA/1142/2024 & 1192/2024 respectively, fees remained to be quantified.

3. This being inadvertent error, the prayer for speaking to minutes is allowed. Operative order of ABA/1885/2024 be incorporated in the said order. Fees of appointed Advocates be quantified at Rs. 10,000/- each to be paid by High Court Legal Services Authority Sub-Committee, Aurangabad.

4. Motion stands disposed of.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)