



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 797 OF 2024
IN WP/6341/2023

GITAI SEVABHAVI SHIKSHAN SANSTHA THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY SACHIN S. MAGRE

VERSUS

VIKAS CHANDRA RASTOGI

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. S. V. Deshmukh

AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. N. S. Tekale

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J. AND
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.

Dated : March 24, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Affidavit is filed by respondent No. 1 stating compliance of the order of which contempt is alleged by the petitioner. It is stated that even before the directions were issued by this Court in writ petition, the proposal of the petitioner was rejected.

2. In that view of the matter, nothing remains in the contempt petition and the same is, therefore, disposed of.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner states that no order of rejection is placed on record. Be that as it may, petitioner can avail the order so passed by the respondent, by applying for the same.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,
J.)