



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**939 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 792 OF 2024  
IN WP/11730/2023**

JAINULLA AABEDDIN MOHAMMAD YASIN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ACCOUNTANT  
GENERAL AND ANOTHER

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. R.R. Kale h/f Mr. Nirmal R. J.  
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.R. Yadav-Lonikar  
Advocate for Respondent no.2 : Mr. Pritam P. Jadhav h/f Mr.Bora S.S.

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND**

**SMT.VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.**

**DATED : 25<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2025.**

**ORDER :**

. Learned Advocate for respondent no.2 has placed on record copy of the communication dated 28.07.2025 addressed by the Deputy Commissioner of Municipal Corporation, Parbhani stating that all the benefits are conferred on the petitioner, the order is therefore, complied with. The communication is marked as "X" for identification. The learned Advocate for the petitioner confirms it.

2. In view of compliance of order, contempt petition is disposed of.

**(SMT. VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.) ( NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,J.)**