



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1345 OF 2025

BABLU SARDAR TADVI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. A.M. Inamdar

APP for Respondents/State : Mrs. P.J. Bharad

...

CORAM : MEHROZ K. PATHAN, J.

DATE : 9th DECEMBER 2025

PER COURT :

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned APP for the State.
2. The Applicant has approached this Court, seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.200/2025 registered with Faizpur Police Station, District Jalgaon for the offences punishable under Sections 308(3), 352, 351(2), 189(2), 191(2), 190, 119(1), 115(2), 355 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has complied with the conditions imposed by this Court of attending the police station of every Monday at 11:30 am. and has cooperated with the investigation. The allegation made in the FIR does not name the present Applicant to be one of the assailants. The

only role of the Applicant can be made out in the supplementary statement recorded by the prosecution which is also restricted to give fists and give blows. There are no injuries sustained by the complainant. There is no criminal antecedents as against the present Applicant and that the Applicant is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence the Applicant may be released on anticipatory bail by confirming the interim order dated 05.08.2025.

4. As against this, the learned APP Mrs. Bharad vehemently opposes the present application on the ground that the Applicant is stated to be one of the assailants in the supplementary statement recorded by the prosecution on 19.06.2025. The Applicant is also seen in the CCTV footage panchanama prepared with the assistance of the complainant, and accordingly, the Applicant is alleged to have been involved in the snatching of the complainant's golden chain. The offence being serious in nature and therefore the Applicant's custodial interrogation would be necessary. However she admits that the Applicant attended the police station as and when called and has cooperated with the investigation.

5. I have seen the investigation papers made available by the learned APP. The perusal of the same would show that, except for the Applicant, all the other accused have been arrested and released on bail. The FIR does not name the present Applicant to be one of the assailants who had assaulted the complainant. It is also seen that the

name of the Applicant has surfaced only in the supplementary statement of the complainant dated 19.06.2025, wherein the Applicant is stated to be one of the co-accused who had accompanied the main accused Aref and assaulted the complainant. The CCTV panchanama further shows that the Applicant is merely present on the spot. The alleged role attributed to applicant in supplementary statement appears to be limited to giving fist and kick blows. However, the case diary does not contain any injury certificate of the complainant to suggest that any external injury was sustained.

. Be that as it may, the Applicant is reported to have complied with the order dated 05.08.2025. In my opinion, the custodial interrogation of the Applicant therefore would not be necessary. The apprehension of the learned APP can be taken care of by imposing stringent conditions upon the Applicant. Hence the following order :

ORDER

(i) In the event of arrest of the Applicant - Bablu Sardar Tadvi in connection with Crime No.200/2025 registered with Faizpur Police Station, District Jalgaon for the offences punishable under Sections 308(3), 352, 351(2), 189(2), 191(2), 190, 119(1), 115(2), 355 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, he be released on bail on furnishing P.R. bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two solvent sureties in the like amount, on the following conditions :

(a) The Applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when called by the Investigating Officer till the filing of the charge-sheet.

(b) The Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence and shall not influence the witnesses.

(c) The Applicant shall submit his Aadhar and PAN Cards to the Investigating Officer and detailed addresses and phone numbers of himself and two of the near relatives.

(d) A single instance of threatening the complainant or violating the conditions would entitle the prosecution to seek cancellation of bail.

(ii) The application is allowed in the above terms and stands disposed of.

MEHROZ K. PATHAN
JUDGE

NAJEEB..