



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 10711 OF 2025

PRAMILA AAKASH TADVI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

- Mr. G. D. Jain, Advocate for the Petitioner
- Mr. S. P. Sonpawale, AGP for Respondent-State
- Mr. Pravin S. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No. 2

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATED : OCTOBER 14, 2025

PER COURT :

1. The present petition was filed for issuing direction to respondent to decide the representation dated 02.07.2025, submitted by the petitioner for issuance of appointment order on the post of *Anganwadi Madatnis*.

2. The learned advocate for respondent No. 2 – Zilla Parishad submits that the said representation was treated as an appeal as per law and was decided by respondent No. 2 on 08.10.2025, thereby rejecting the said representation. A copy of the said order is taken on record and marked 'x' for identification.

3. In view of the fact that the aforesaid representation has now been decided, the present petition has become infructuous.

4. The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to adopt the legal procedure as permitted by law.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)